-	
2	
3	2018 ACC/AHA Clinical Performance and Quality Measures for Cardiac Rehabilitation
4	
5	A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force o
6	Performance Measures
7	Developed in Collaboration with the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary
8	Rehabilitation
9	Endorsed by the
10	
11	Writing Committee Members
	Randal J. Thomas, MD, MS, MAACVPR, FACC, FAHA, Chair
	Gary Balady, MD, FAHA Steven J. Keteyian, PhD, FAACVPR ⁺
	Gauray Banka MD Mariorie King MD FACC MAACVPR

Gary Balady, MD, FAHA	Steven J. Keteyian, PhD, FAACVPR [†]
Gaurav Banka, MD	Marjorie King, MD, FACC, MAACVPR
Theresa M. Beckie, PhD, FAHA	Karen Lui, RN, MS, MAACVPR
Jensen Chiu, MHA	Quinn Pack, MD, MS
Sana Gokak, MPH	Bonnie K. Sanderson, PhD, RN
P. Michael Ho, MD, PhD, FACC, FAHA*	Tracy Y. Wang, MD, MHS MSc, FACC, FAHA

1

13

14

ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures

Geoffrey D. Barnes, MD, MSc, FACC§ Biykem Bozkurt, MD, PhD, FACC§ Sandeep Das, MD, MPH§ Gregg C. Fonarow, MD, FACC, FAHA§ Michelle Gurvitz, MD, FACC‡ Corrine Y. Jurgens PhD, RN, ANP-BC, FAHA§ Hani Jneid MD, FACC, FAHA§ Patricia Keegan, DNP, APRN, NP-C ‡

Gregg C. Fonarow, MD FACC, FAHA, Chairc, FACC§Dhaval Kolte, MD, PhD‡FACC§Jeffrey Olin, DO, FACC, FAHA§Tiffany Randolph, MD‡CC, FAHA§Matthew Roe, MD, FACC‡C‡Randal J. Thomas, MD, FACC, FAHA‡ANP-BC, FAHA§Javier Valle, MD MSCS§IA§Paul D. Varosy, MD, FACC‡I, NP-C ‡Siqin Kye Ye, MD, MS‡

16*ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measure Liaison.

- [†]American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation.
- ‡American College of Cardiology Representative.
- 1 2 3 §American Heart Association Representative.
- 4
- 5 6 This document underwent a 14-day peer review between, and a 30 day public comment period between,___ and ___
- 7 This document was approved by the American College of Cardiology Clinical Policy Approval Committee on ●● ●●●, 2017; 8 by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee on •• •••, 2017 and the Executive
- 9 Committee ●● ●●●, 2017; and by the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation on ●● ●● 10 2017.
- 11 The American College of Cardiology requests that this document be cited as follows:
- 12
- 13 J Am Coll Cardiol. $2017; \bullet \bullet : \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet - \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$.
- 14 This article has been co-published in Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes.

15 Copies: This document is available on the World Wide Web sites of the American College of Cardiology (www.acc.org) and the

- 16 American Heart Association (professional.heart.org) For copies of this document, please contact Elsevier Inc. Reprint
- 17 Department via fax (212-633-3820) or email (reprints@elsevier.com).
- 18 Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted
- 19 without the express permission of the American College of Cardiology. Please contact Elsevier's permission department at 20 healthpermissions@elsevier.com.
- 21 © 2017 American College of Cardiology Foundation and American Heart Association, Inc.

22

Table of Contents 23

24	PREAMBLE	3
25	1. INTRODUCTION	4
26	ACCF indicates American College of Cardiology Foundation; AHA, American Heart Association; CR,	
27	cardiac rehabilitation; HF, heart failure; PM, performance measure; and QM, quality measure	6
28	1.1. Scope of the Problem	
29	1.2. Disclosure of Relationships With Industry and Other Entities	7
30	2. METHODOLOGY	8
31	2.1. Literature Review	8
32	2.2. Definition and Selection of Measures	9

1	3. ACC/AHA CARDIAC REHABILITATION MEASURE SET PERFORMANCE
2	MEASURES
3	3.1 Discussion of Changes to 2008 and 2010 Cardiac Rehabilitation Measure Set 14
4	3.1.1. Retired Measures
5	3.1.2. Revised Measures
6	3.1.3. New Measures17
7	4. AREAS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH21
8	APPENDIX A. CARDIAC REHABILITATION MEASURE SET
9	Performance Measure for Cardiac Rehabilitation 22
10	Short Title: PM-1: Referral From Inpatient Setting22
11	Short Title: PM-2: Exercise Training Referral for HFrEF from Inpatient Setting
12 13	Short Title: PM-3: Referral From Outpatient Setting
13 14	Short Title: PM-4: Exercise Training Referral for HFrEF from Outpatient Setting
15	Short Title: PM-5A: Enrollment (Claims-Based)
16 17	QUALITY MEASURES FOR CARDIAC REHABILITATION
17	Short Title: QM-1: Time to Enronment
19	Short Title: QM-3: Cardiac Rehabilitation Autorence (22) sessions/
20 21 22	APPENDIX B. AUTHOR LISTING OF RELATIONSHIPS WITH INDUSTRY AND OTHER ENTITIES (RELEVANT)—2018 ACC/AHA CLINICAL PERFORMANCE AND QUALITY MEASURES FOR CARDIAC REHABILITATION
23	APPENDIX C. PEER REVIEWER RELATIONSHIPS WITH INDUSTRY AND OTHER
23	ENTITIES 2018 — 2018 ACC/AHA CLINICAL PERFORMANCE AND QUALITY
24	MEASURES FOR CARDIAC REHABILITATION MEASURES
25 26	MEASURES FOR CARDIAC REHADILITATION MEASURES
20	
27	Preamble
28	The American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) performance measure
29	sets serve as vehicles to accelerate translation of scientific evidence into clinical practice. Measure sets
30	developed by the ACC/AHA are intended to provide practitioners and institutions that deliver
31	cardiovascular services with tools to measure the quality of care provided and identify opportunities for

32 improvement.

1	Writing committees are instructed to consider the methodology of performance measure
2	development (1) and to ensure that the measures developed are aligned with ACC/AHA clinical
3	guidelines. The writing committees also are charged with constructing measures that maximally capture
4	important aspects of care quality, including timeliness, safety, effectiveness, efficiency, equity, and
5	patient-centeredness, while minimizing, when possible, the reporting burden imposed on hospitals,
6	practices, and/or practitioners.
7	Potential challenges from measure implementation may lead to unintended consequences. The
8	manner in which challenges are addressed is dependent on several factors, including the measure design,
9	data collection method, performance attribution, baseline performance rates, reporting methods, and
10	incentives linked to these reports.
11	The ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures (Task Force) distinguishes quality
12	measures from performance measures. Quality measures are those metrics that may be useful for local
13	quality improvement but are not yet appropriate for public reporting or pay for performance programs
14	(uses of performance measures). New measures are initially evaluated for potential inclusion as
15	performance measures. In some cases, a measure is insufficiently supported by the guidelines. In other
16	instances, when the guidelines support a measure, the writing committee may feel it is necessary to have
17	the measure tested to identify the consequences of measure implementation. Quality measures may then
18	be promoted to the status of performance measures as supporting evidence becomes available.
19	
20	Gregg C. Fonarow, MD, FACC, FAHA
21	Chair, ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures
22	
23	

24 **1. Introduction**

In 2016, the ACC/AHA Task Force convened the writing committee to begin the process of revising the existing Cardiac Rehabilitation (CR) set that was released in 2007 (2) and for which a focused update was issued in 2010 (3). The writing committee also was charged with the task of developing new measures to benchmark and improve the quality of care for patients eligible for CR.

This draft document should be considered confidential and has been provided for comment purposes only. This document should not be cited or distributed to other individuals

4

1	All the measures included in the measure set are briefly summarized in Table 1 which provides
2	information on the measure number, measure title, and care setting. The detailed measure specifications
3	(available in <u>Appendix A)</u> provide not only the information included in <u>Table 1</u> but also provide more
4	detailed information including the measure description, numerator, denominator (including denominator
5	exclusions and exceptions), rationale for the measure, guideline that support the measure, measurement
6	period, source of data, attribution.
7	The writing committee has developed a comprehensive CR measure set that includes 9 total
8	measures, including 6 performance measures, and 3 quality measures as reflected in Table 1 and
9	Appendix A. The writing committee believes that implementation of this measure set by health care

10 systems, health care providers, health insurance carriers, chronic disease management organizations, CR

11 programs and other groups that have responsibility for the delivery of care to persons with cardiovascular

12 disease will help to enhance the structure, process, and outcomes of care provided to patients who are

- 13 eligible for CR services.
- 14
- 15

17

16 Table 1. ACC/AHA 2018 Cardiac Rehabilitation Clinical Performance and Quality Measures

0.	MEASURE TITLE	CARE SETTING	ATTRIBUTION	MEASURE DOMAIN
PERFO	RMANCE MEASURES			
PM-1	CR Patient Referral From an Inpatient Setting	Inpatient	Facility Level	Communication and Care Coordination
PM-2	Exercise Training Referral for HF from Inpatient Setting	Inpatient	Facility Level	Communication and Care Coordination
PM-3	CR Patient Referral From an Outpatient Setting	Outpatient	Facility or Provider Level	Communication and Care Coordination
PM-4	Exercise Training Referral for HF from Outpatient Setting	Outpatient	Facility or Provider Level	Communication and Care Coordination
PM-5a	CR Enrollment–Claims Based	Outpatient	Provider Level	Effective Clinical Care
PM-5b	CR Enrollment– Registry/Electronic Health Records Based	Inpatient	Provider Level	Effective Clinical Care
QUALI	ΓY MEASURES			
QM-1	CR Time to Enrollment	Outpatient	Facility or Provider Level	Effective Clinical Care
QM-2	CR Adherence (≥25 sessions)	Outpatient	Facility or Provider Level	Effective Clinical Care

0.	MEASURE TITLE	CARE	ATTRIBUTION	MEASURE DOMAIN
		SETTING		
QM-3	CR Communication: Patient	Outpatient	Facility or Provider	Communication and Care Coordination
	Enrollment, Adherence, and		Level	
	Clinical Outcomes			

ACCF indicates American College of Cardiology Foundation; AHA, American Heart Association; CR, cardiac rehabilitation;

2 HF, heart failure; PM, performance measure; and QM, quality measure.

3

4 **1.1. Scope of the Problem**

5 The recently published AHA Heart and Stroke Statistical report highlights the large number of 6 patients who are in need of CR each year, including 625,000 patients discharged from U.S. hospitals

7 following an acute coronary syndrome, 954,000 patients who underwent percutaneous coronary

8 interventions, 500,000 patients discharged with a new diagnosis of heart failure, and 397,000 who

9 underwent coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) (4). Furthermore, data from the national

10 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project statistics show that over 608,000 patients were discharged with a

11 primary diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in 2012 with a length of stay (mean) of 4.6 days,

12 charges (mean) of more than \$72,000 per patient stay and an in-hospital death rate of 5.16% (5). More

13 than half a million patients with coronary atherosclerosis and other heart diseases were treated in hospitals

in 2012 with a length of stay of 3.7 days and associated charges of almost \$69,000 (5).

CR is a multidisciplinary, systematic approach to applying secondary prevention therapies of
 known benefit. Following a myocardial infarction (MI), CR decreases recurrent MI and mortality rates

based on a meta-analysis of 34 randomized trials (6). Participation in CR programs can also improve a

18 patient's quality of life and ability to return to work more quickly (7,8). One study within a community

19 demonstrated a 10-year absolute risk reduction in all-cause mortality of more than 12% in CABG patients

20 who participate in a CR program (9). Studies have also found that CR participation is associated with a

21 20-30% reduction in hospital readmission during the year after a cardiac event (8,10,11).

Even with the underlying evidence demonstrating the benefits of CR, the majority of eligiblepatients are still not receiving this therapy.

24 Analyses show that:

25

Just over 13% of Medicare patients who had an AMI and 30% after a CABG received CR (12).

2017 ACCF/AHA Cardiac Rehab Measure Set

August 1, 2017

- Certain sub-populations including ethnic minorities, women and those with caregiver-related
 responsibilities, multiple comorbidities, limited program access, and inadequate health insurance
 coverage are less like to receive rehabilitation (12,13).
- 4

5 Data from the ACTION-Get With The Guidelines registry (2014) (4) on the current ST-elevation 6 myocardial infarction/non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction measures related to CR continue to 7 demonstrate an opportunity for improvement with 75.9% of patients with non-ST-elevation myocardial 8 infarction receiving this referral and 84.5% for those with STEMI. Rates of CR referral are even lower (approximately 60%) for patients who undergo PCI (14). Similarly, data from the Get With The 9 10 Guidelines-Heart Failure registry showed that in patients hospitalized for heart failure (HF), only 10.4% 11 (12.2% with HFrEF and 8.8% with HFpEF) received CR referral at discharge (15). 12 Furthermore, in addition to a "referral gap," an "enrollment gap" also exists in CR—with only about 50% of patients referred to CR actually enrolling and participating in CR (16-18). In addition, 13 14 completion rates of CR are suboptimal (12,18). If CR participation rates were improved to at least 70%, it 15 is estimated that approximately 25,000 deaths and 180,000 hospitalizations could be prevented each year 16 (19). For all of the above-mentioned reasons, updating the existing CR measure set has been recognized as a high priority for the ACC and AHA. Particular attention has been given to the infrastructure and 17 18 processes that are most likely to improve CR participation by eligible patients and ultimately improve 19 patient outcomes. This document serves to reflect those measures that were developed by the writing 20 committee after comprehensive internal discussion, peer review, and public comment.

1.2. Disclosure of Relationships With Industry and Other Entities

The ACC/AHA Task Force makes every effort to avoid actual, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest that could arise as a result of relationships with industry or other entities (RWI). Detailed information on the ACC/AHA policy on RWI can be found at <u>http://www.acc.org/guidelines/about-guidelines-and-</u> <u>clinical-documents/relationships-with-industry-policy</u>. All members of the writing committee, as well as those selected to serve as peer reviewers of this document, were required to disclose all current relationships and those existing within the 12 months before the initiation of this writing effort. ACC/AHA policy also requires that the writing committee chair and at least 50% of the writing

29 committee have no relevant RWI.

Any writing committee member who develops new RWI during his or her tenure on the writing
 committee is required to notify staff in writing. These statements are reviewed periodically by the Task

- 3 Force and by members of the writing committee. Author and peer reviewers RWI which are relevant to
- 4 the document are included in the appendices: Please see Appendix B for relevant writing committee RWI
- 5 and <u>Appendix C</u> for relevant peer reviewer RWI. Additionally, to ensure complete transparency, the
- 6 writing committee members' comprehensive disclosure information, including RWI not relevant to the
- 7 present document, is available online at [insert link to Comprehensive RWI here once paper finalized]
- 8 Disclosure information for the Task Force is also available online at <u>http://www.acc.org/guidelines/about-</u>
- 9 guidelines-and-clinical-documents/guidelines-and-documents-task-forces.
- 10 The work of the writing committee was supported exclusively by the ACC and the AHA without 11 commercial support. Members of the writing committee volunteered their time for this effort. Meetings of 12 the writing committee were confidential and attended only by writing committee members and staff from
- 13 the ACC, AHA, and the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation
- 14 (AACVPR) who served as a collaborator on this project.

15 2. Methodology

16 **2.1. Literature Review**

- 17 In developing the updated CR measure set, the writing committee reviewed evidence-based guidelines
- 18 and statements that would potentially impact the construct of the measures. The practice guidelines and
- 19 statements that most directly contributed to the development of these measures are shown in <u>Table 2</u>.

20 Table 2: Associated Guidelines and Other Clinical Guidance Documents

CLINICA	AL PRACTICE GUIDELINES
1.	2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary
	Syndromes: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force
	on Practice Guidelines (20)
2.	2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the
	American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice
	Guidelines (21)
3.	2015 ACC/AHA/SCAI Focused Update on Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Patients
	With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: An Update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for
	Percutaneous Coronary Intervention and the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of ST-
	Elevation Myocardial Infarction (22)
4.	2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure (23)
5.	2013 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk (24)

6.	2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of
	Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease (25)
7.	Effectiveness-based guidelines for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in women—2011 update:
	a guideline from the American Heart Association (26)
8.	AHA/ACCF secondary prevention and risk reduction therapy for patients with coronary artery and
	other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2011 update (27)
9.	2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery (28)
PERFO	DRMANCE MESURES AND STATEMENTS
1.	ACCF/AHA/AMA–PCPI 2011 Performance Measures for Adults With Coronary Artery Disease and
	Hypertension (29)
2.	ACC/AHA 2008 performance measures for adults with ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation
	myocardial infarction (30)
3.	Acute Myocardial Infarction in Women: A Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association
	(31)
4.	Preventing and Experiencing Ischemic Heart Disease as a Woman: State of the Science: A Scientific
	Statement From the American Heart Association (32)
5.	ACCF/AHA/AMA-PCPI 2011 Performance Measures for Adults With Heart Failure (33)
6.	2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS Expert Consensus Document on Transcatheter Aortic Valve
	Replacement (34)

AATS indicates American Association for Thoracic Surgery; ACC, American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College
 of Cardiology Foundation; ACP, American College of Physicians; AHA, American Heart Association; AMA, American Medical
 Association; PCPI, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement; PCNA, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association;
 SCAI, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions; and STS, the Society of Thoracic Surgeons.

5 2.2. Definition and Selection of Measures

6 The writing committee reviewed both recent clinical practice guidelines and other clinical
7 guidance documents in <u>Table 2</u>. The writing committee also examined available information on gaps in
8 care to address which new measures might be appropriate as performance measures or quality measures
9 for this measure set update.
10 The writing committee took into consideration a number of additional factors, including the

11 following:

12	• Previous feedback from the National Quality Forum endorsement process and from the
13	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has included suggestions to
14	incorporate "enrollment" in the next version of the CR performance measures.
15	• CMS approved heart failure with reduced ejection fraction as a covered indication for
16	CR, beginning in February 2014. In addition, the 2013 ACC/AHA Guideline for the
17	Management of Heart Failure included a Class I recommendation for exercise training for
18	patients with heart failure (23). These factors highlighted the need to incorporate such
19	patients in the updated version of the CR measures.

1	• As ACC and AHA have recently worked with CMS to establish a consensus core set of
2	cardiovascular performance measures, the group decided to not include the CR referral
3	performance measure as a separate measure due to concerns about the difficulty for some
4	centers to collect the measure. However, the group did include the CR referral measure as
5	a component of the composite "defect free care" measure for MI (35). This suggests that
6	a goal of the updated version of the CR performance measures should be to improve the
7	ease of collection, while maintaining high quality standards for data that are collected.
8	• Input from CMS has also requested the e-specification of the performance measures, a
9	process that is difficult given that electronic health records generally do not include CR
10	referral as a discrete data field, making it necessary to use manual chart abstraction or
11	local electronic health record systems to collect data on CR referral. The CR referral
12	measure is currently included in ACC and AHA registries, an important step that may
13	serve as an example for ways in which vendors of electronic health records can include
14	the CR referral measure, as well as other measures included in the updated CR measures
15	set.
16	• Growing evidence suggests that alternative models of CR delivery (home-based,
17	electronic/mobile technology-based, etc.) are both feasible and potentially helpful for
18	increasing the reach of CR services, suggesting that the updated CR measures set should
19	be broad enough in scope to allow for the inclusion of alternative models of CR delivery
20	that are supported by published evidence.
21	• In January 2018, CMS will initiate a pilot program that includes the use of bundled
22	payments and/or CR financial incentives for a select number of geographical regions,
23	involving patients with AMI and/or those undergoing CABG surgery. Although it is
24	unclear if these models program will be implemented for the longer-term use, it still has
25	relevance to the updated CR measures, especially those aimed at promoting early
26	enrollment and treatment adherence, given that the CMS CR financial incentives are tied
27	to a patient's adherence to CR sessions in the 90 days following the qualifying event.
28	
29	CR measures were designed to cover two specific aspects of CR services: 1) referral of eligible
30	patients to a CR program and 2) delivery of CR services through multidisciplinary CR programs. The

1 measures also were designed to include all patients without a valid reason for exclusion from the measure. 2 Measure exclusions are those reasons that remove a patient automatically from the denominator. For example, all measures excluded patients who were under 18 years of age. In contrast to exclusions, 3 4 denominator exceptions are those conditions that remove a patient from the denominator only if the 5 numerator criteria are not met. Denominator exceptions are used in select cases to allow for a fairer 6 measurement of quality for those providers with higher risk populations. Exceptions are also used to defer 7 to the clinical judgment of the provider. Several of the measures include exceptions. For example, in the 8 case of the CR referral from an inpatient setting, a physician may recommend CR even if the patient says 9 that he/she will not enroll in a CR program due to one or more reasons (e.g., lack of transportation, patient 10 preference, etc.). In such a case, the physician would receive credit for the measure. If the patient has told 11 the physician that he/she does not wish to enroll in a CR program, the physician can choose not to write the referral, and document in the medical record that the patient refused CR. In this scenario, the provider 12 13 would not be penalized for the lack of a completed CR program referral as long as the patient reason is 14 documented. The writing committee closely examined which exceptions should be included for each 15 measure.

16 For the purposes of this document, a CR program is defined as a systematic, medically supervised 17 program that helps patients recuperate from their cardiac event; adopt and adhere to healthy lifestyle 18 habits; address co-morbid conditions (e.g., depression, diabetes, sleep apnea); monitor for safety issues; 19 including new or recurrent signs or symptoms; and adhere to evidence-based medical therapies. A CR 20 program may include a traditional center-based CR program that incorporates face-to-face interactions 21 and supervised exercise training sessions or may include other delivery models that meet all criteria for a 22 safe and effective CR program, as specified by AACVPR CR practice guidelines. In addition, an 23 alternative CR program is defined as a hospital outpatient-based program that may include hybrid 24 delivery options (e.g., remote monitoring, combined center- and home-based) as part of the program, and 25 incorporates the core clinical and operational components of an industry-standard service that provides, 26 tracks and reports on safe and effective exercise, and patient-centered disease management education 27 aimed to progress patients toward improved outcomes in the clinical, functional and behavioral domains.

During the course of developing the measure set, the writing committee evaluated the potential measures against the ACC/AHA attributes of performance measures (Table 3) to reach consensus on which measures should be advanced for inclusion in the final measure set. After the peer review and

1 public comment period, the writing committee reviewed and discussed the comments received, and

- 2 further refined the measure set. The writing committee acknowledges that the new measures created in
- 3 this set will need to be tested and validated over time. By publishing this measure set, the writing
- 4 committee hopes to encourage adoption of these performance measures which will help to facilitate the
- 5 collection and analysis of data that are needed to assess the validity of these measures. In the future, the
- 6 writing committee anticipates having data that will allow them to re-assess whether any of the measures
- 7 included in this set should be modified, or potentially promoted from a quality measure to a performance
- 8 measure.

Confidential Draft

August 1, 2017

1 Table 3. ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures: Attributes for Performance Measures (36)

2

1. Evidence Based

High-impact area that is useful in improving patient outcomes	a) For structural measures, the structure should be closely linked to a meaningful process of care that in turn is linked to a meaningful patient outcome.
	b) For process measures, the scientific basis for the measure should be well established, and the process should be closely linked to a meaningful patient outcome.
	c) For outcome measures, the outcome should be clinically meaningful. If appropriate, performance measures based on outcomes should adjust for relevant clinical characteristics through the use of appropriate methodology and high- quality data sources.
2. Measure Selection	
Measure definition	a) The patient group to whom the measure applies (denominator) and the patient group for whom conformance is achieved (numerator) are clearly defined and clinically meaningful.
Measure exceptions and exclusions	b) Exceptions and exclusions are supported by evidence.
Reliability	c) The measure is reproducible across organizations and delivery settings.
Face validity	d) The measure appears to assess what it is intended to.
Content validity	e) The measure captures most meaningful aspects of care.
Construct validity	f) The measure correlates well with other measures of the same aspect of care.
3. Measure Feasibility	
Reasonable effort and cost*	a) The data required for the measure can be obtained with reasonable effort and cost.
Reasonable time period	b) The data required for the measure can be obtained within the period allowed for data collection.
4. Accountability	
Actionable*	a) Those held accountable can affect the care process or outcome.
Unintended consequences avoided	b) The likelihood of negative unintended consequences with the measure is low.
ACC indicates American College of Cardiology a	nd AHA, American Heart Association.

4

3. ACC/AHA Cardiac Rehabilitation Measure Set Performance Measures

3.1 Discussion of Changes to 2008 and 2010 Cardiac Rehabilitation 4 Measure Set

5 After reviewing the existing guidelines, the 2007 measure set (2) and the 2010 focused update (3), the

6 writing committee discussed which measures required revision to reflect updated science in the field of

- 7 CR, and identified which guideline recommendations could serve as the basis for new performance or
- 8 quality measures. The writing committee also reviewed existing publically available measure sets.
- 9 The following subsections serve as a synopsis of the revisions that were made to previous
- 10 measures, and a description of why the new measures were created for both the inpatient and outpatient
- 11 setting.

12 **3.1.1. Retired Measures**

- 13 The writing committee decided to retire the "Set B" CR performance measures (CR program measures)
- 14 included in the original 2007 CR measures set. This was done to avoid duplication of effort, since the
- 15 "Set B" measures are currently being updated, tested, and implemented through a separate process by the
- 16 AACVPR. The measures along with a brief rationale for retiring the measures are included in <u>Table 4</u>.
- 17

18 Table 4: Retired Cardiac Rehabilitation Measures from the 2007 Set

#	Care Setting	Measure Title	Rationale for Retiring the Measures
B-1	N/A	Structure-Based Measurement Set	This measure will be considered for revision and/or maintenance by the AACVPR as elements of this measure are currently used within AACVPR Program Certification.
B-2	N/A	Assessment of Risk for Adverse Cardiovascular Events	This measure will be considered for revision and/or maintenance by the AACVPR because it is specific to CR programming and outcomes, and is used within the AACVPR CR Registry and Program Certification.
B-3	N/A	Individualized Assessment and Evaluation of Modifiable Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Development of Individualized Interventions, and Communication With Other Health Care Providers	This measure and all of its subset measures are being retired and are being replaced by AACVPR with patient-related outcomes measures, which currently include improvement in functional capacity, blood pressure control, and depression, as well as a process measures related to intervention for tobacco use. AACVPR will continue to evaluate and develop new measures related to CR programming and outcomes to use within the AACVPR CR Registry and

			Program Certification.
B-4	N/A	Monitor Response to Therapy	This measure will be considered for revision and/or
		and Document Program	maintenance by AACVPR as elements are used within the
		Effectiveness	AACVPR CR Registry and Program Certification.

AACVPR indicates American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation and CR, cardiac rehabilitation.

4 3.1.2. Revised Measures

1

2

3

- 5 The writing committee reviewed and made changes to the inpatient and outpatient CR referral measures,
- 6 as summarized in <u>Table 5</u>. Minimal changes were made, primarily those that improve ease of use of the
- 7 measures and strengthen the construct of the measures. <u>Table 5</u> provides information on the updated
- 8 measures including the care setting, title, and a brief rationale for revisions made to the measures.

1 Table 5: Revised Cardiac Rehabilitation Measures

#	Measure Title	Description	Rationale for Revisions
PM-	CR referral from an Inpatient	All patients hospitalized with a CR-eligible	If patient refuses CR referral,
1	Setting	diagnosis or procedure should be referred to an outpatient CR program prior to hospital discharge	referral order and patient materials should not be sent to the receiving CR program against the patient's wishes. CR referral would still be met as long as other aspects of CR referral have been met (CR referral recommended and documented)
PM- 3	CR Referral from an Outpatient Setting	All outpatients who are eligible for CR and have not yet participated in CR should be referred to an outpatient CR program.	If patient refuses CR referral, referral order and patient materials should not be sent to the receiving CR program against the patient's wishes. CR referral would still be met as long as other aspects of CR referral have been met (CR referral recommended and documented)

2 CR indicates cardiac rehabilitation and PM, performance measures.

3

4

1 3.1.3. New Measures

- 2 The writing committee has created a comprehensive list of measures that can be utilized for patients who
- 3 are eligible to participate in CR. This set includes five new performance measures, and three new quality
- 4 measures. <u>Table 6</u> includes a list of the measures with information on the care setting, and a brief
- 5 rationale.
- 6 The measures are structured in a typical format in which the goal is to seek a higher performance
- 7 score, ideally nearing 100%.
- 8 For more detailed information on the measure construct, please refer to the detailed measure
- 9 specifications for each measure in <u>Appendix A</u>.
- 10
- 11

1 Table 6: New Cardiac Rehabilitation Measures

2

#	Care Setting	Measure Title	Rationale for Creating New Measure	Rationale for Designating as a Quality Measure as Opposed to a Performance Measure (If Applicable)
PM-2	Inpatient	Exercise Training Referral for Heart Failure from Inpatient Setting	Exercise training is a Class 1 recommendation for patients with HFrEF and is typically provided through an outpatient CR program. Exercise training has been shown to help improve functional capacity for patients with HFrEF. In addition, CR has been shown to improve functional capacity, exercise duration, HRQOL, and mortality (Class IIa, Level of Evidence B).	Non applicable
PM-4	Outpatient	Exercise Training Referral for Heart Failure from Outpatient Setting	Exercise training is a Class 1 recommendation for patients with HFrEF and is typically provided through an outpatient CR program. Exercise training has been shown to help improve functional capacity for patients with HFrEF. In addition, CR has been shown to improve functional capacity, exercise duration, HRQOL, and mortality (Class IIa, Level of Evidence B).	Non applicable
PM-5a	Outpatient	CR Enrollment–Claims Based	While CR referral is a critically important first step in CR participation, CR enrollment is the goal of CR referral and is essential for patients to receive the benefits associated with CR participation. This option, to use claims-based data, is included to allow flexibility in the measure assessment for healthcare organizations that may wish to use claims-based data, with or without the use of registry/electronic health record data.	Non applicable

Confidential Draft

PM-5b	Outpatient	CR Enrollment- Registry/Electronic Health Records Based	While CR referral is a critically important first step in CR participation, CR enrollment is the goal of CR referral and is essential for patients to receive the benefits associated with CR participation. This option, to use registry/electronic health record data, is included to allow flexibility in the measure assessment for healthcare organizations that may wish to use registry/electronic health record data with or without the use of claims-based data.	Non applicable
QM-1	Inpatient	CR Time to Enrollment	Research indicates that earlier enrollment into CR improves overall enrollment, thus it may also be associated with better patient outcomes. Specifically, for every day that passes after hospital discharge there is a ~1% decrease in participation (37). This measure may involve process improvement strategies at the patient, hospital, and program levels.	Early enrollment in CR is a safe and important goal to help optimize enrollment, participation and eventual patient outcomes of CR. However, since time to enrollment is not part of the Class I, Level of Evidence A, clinical practice guidelines, this measure is being introduced as a QM.
QM-2	Outpatient	CR Adherence (≥25 sessions)	Research demonstrates that attending ≥ 25 sessions is associated with lower risks of death and MI at four years, compared with attending fewer sessions (38).	While observational data show an association between "dose" of CR and patient outcomes, achievement of a "high dose" (i.e., ≥25 sessions) of CR is a very high level of performance, considering that only a minority of patients participate in any CR sessions at present. The writing group has proposed that this "high dose" measure be introduced as a QM, which CR programs and patients are encouraged to ideally achieve.

Confidential Draft

QM-3	Outpatient	CR Communication: Patient	Research demonstrates that care coordination and	While extremely important, CR
		Enrollment Adherence and	communication between healthcare providers	communications to referring/primary
		Clinical Outcomes	helps to improve quality of care, patient	healthcare providers is not part of Class
			satisfaction, and patient outcomes.	I clinical practice recommendations.
				However, such care coordination is
				considered a standard of care and is
				included as a QM that CR programs are
				encouraged to ideally achieve.
CR indic	CR indicates cardiac rehabilitation; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HRQOL; health-related quality of life; MI, myocardial			

2 infarction; PM, performance measures; and QM, quality measure.

3

1

1 4. Areas for Further Research

Additional areas for further research that will potentially have an impact on CR performance and quality
measures include the following:

- Impact of CR performance and quality measures on CR participation, adherence and related
 clinical outcomes.
- Impact of CR performance and quality measures on healthcare expenditures.
- Comparative effectiveness of center-based vs novel CR delivery models on CR participation,
 adherence, and related clinical outcomes.
- 9 Comparative effectiveness of center-based vs novel CR delivery models in implementing CR
- 10 performance and quality measures to improve CR participation and adherence rates.
- Impact of the inclusion of CR performance measures in "pay for performance" strategies, on CR
 participation, adherence, and outcomes.
- Novel performance and quality measures to stimulate higher CR participation and adherence rates
- Performance and quality measures to promote longer-term adherence to secondary prevention
 therapies, after "completion" of early outpatient (Phase 2) CR
- 16
- 10 17
- 18 Staff
- 19
- 20 American College of Cardiology
- 21 Mary Norine Walsh, MD, FACC, President
- 22 Shalom Jacobovitz, Chief Executive Officer
- 23 William J. Oetgen, MD, MBA, FACC, Executive Vice President, Science, Education, Quality and
- 24 Publishing
- 25 Lara Slattery, MHS, Senior Director, ACC Scientific Reporting
- 26 Esteban Perla, MPH, Team Lead, Quality Measurement
- 27 Amelia Scholtz, PhD, Publications Manager, Science, Education, Quality, and Publishing
- 28
- 29 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association
- 30 Katherine Sheehan, PhD, Guideline Strategy and Operations
- 31 Sana Gokak, MPH, Associate, Quality Measurement
- 32
- 33 American Heart Association
- 34 Steven R. Houser, PhD, FAHA, President
- 35 Nancy Brown, Chief Executive Officer
- 36 Rose Marie Robertson, MD, FAHA, Chief Science and Medicine Officer

1 Gayle R. Whitman, PhD, RN, FAHA, FAAN, Senior Vice President, Office of Science Operations

- 2 Jody Hundley, Production Manager, Scientific Publishing, Office of Science Operations
- 3 4

5

Key Words

- 6 7 ACC/AHA Performance Measures ■ cardiac rehabilitation ■ performance measures ■ quality
- 8 measures quality indicators

9 Appendix A. Cardiac Rehabilitation Measure Set

10 Performance Measures for Cardiac Rehabilitation

11

Short Title: PM-1: Refer	Short Title: PM-1: Referral From Inpatient Setting		
PM-1: Cardiac Rehabilita	ation Patient Referral From an Inpatient Setting		
Measure Description: Percentage of patients, age ≥ 18 y, hospitalized with a qualifying event/ diagnosis for CR in the previous 12 m including: an MI, CSA or who during hospitalization have undergone CABG surgery, PCI, cardiac valve repair/replacement, or heart transplantation, are to be referred to an outpatient CR program.			
Numerator Patients with a qualifying event/diagnosis who have been referred to outpatient CR program prior to hospital discharge			
	 A referral is defined as all of the below: (1) an official communication* between the health care provider and the patient to recommend and carry out a referral order to an outpatient CR program (2) an official referral order to an outpatient CR program, and (3) a communication between the health care provider or health care system and the receiving CR program that includes the patient's referral information† for the program. While all steps should be met, patients who refuse a CR referral should not have their data transmitted to the receiving CR program against their will. In that case, performance is considered to be met if the first two steps of the referral process and a patient refusal are documented in the patient's medical record. 		
	*All communications must maintain appropriate confidentiality as outlined by the 1996 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA].		
	*Necessary patient information may be found in the hospital discharge summary.		

Denominator	All patients with a qualifying event/diagnosis in the previous 12 m including: MI, PCI, CABG, CSA, valve repair/replacement, or heart transplantation, who discharged from the hospital during the reporting period	
Denominator Exclusions	 Patients age <18 y Patients who leave during the hospitalization against medical advice Patients who die during the hospitalization period Patients who are transferred to another hospital for inpatient care Patient is already participating in a CR program prior to hospitalization 	
Denominator Exceptions	 Documentation of a patient-oriented reason that precludes referral to CR (e.g., no traditional CR program available to the patient, within 66 min of travel time from the patient's home, or patient has access to an alternative model of CR delivery that meets all criteria for a CR program) Documentation of a medical reason that precludes referral to CR (e.g. patient deemed by a medical provider to have a medically unstable, life-threatening condition or has other cognitive or physical impairments that preclude CR participation) Documentation of a healthcare system reason that preclude referral to CR (e.g., patient is discharged to a nursing care or long-term care facility, or patients lack medical coverage for CR) 	
Measurement Period	Encounter	
Sources of Data	Medical record or other database (e.g., administrative, clinical, registry)	
Attribution	Measure reportable at facility level	
Care Setting	Inpatient	
	Rationale	

coronary artery disease event, but these services are used in less than 30% of eligible patients (39). A key component to outpatient CR program utilization is the appropriate and timely referral of patients. Generally,

A key component to outpatient CR program utilization is the appropriate and timely referral of patients. Generally, the most important time for this referral to take place is while the patient is hospitalized for a qualifying event/diagnosis (MI, CSA, CABG, PCI, and cardiac valve repair/replacement,).

This performance measure has been developed to help healthcare systems implement effective steps in their systems of care that will optimize the appropriate referral of a patient to an outpatient CR program.

This measure is designed to serve as a stand-alone measure or, preferably, to be included within other performance measurement sets that involve disease states or other conditions for which CR services have been found to be appropriate and beneficial (e.g., following MI, CABG surgery). This performance measure is provided in a format that is meant to allow easy and flexible inclusion into such performance measurement sets.

Effective referral of appropriate inpatients to an outpatient CR program is the responsibility of the healthcare team within a healthcare system that is primarily responsible for providing cardiovascular care to the patient during the hospitalization.

Published evidence suggests that automatic referral systems accompanied by strong and supportive advice and guidance from a healthcare professional can significantly help improve CR referral and enrollment.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non–ST-elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes (20)

1. All eligible patients with NSTE-ACS should be referred to a comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation program either before hospital discharge or during the first outpatient visit (40-43) . (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (21)

1. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs are recommended for patients with STEMI (42,44-46) . (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

<u>AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients With Coronary Artery and</u> <u>Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update</u> (27)

1. All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital discharge or during the first follow-up office visit (2,42,47,48). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)

AHA Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women–2011 Update (26)

1. A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physicianguided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular event, peripheral arterial disease (*Class I; Level of Evidence A*) or current/prior symptoms of heart failure and an LVEF 35%. (*Class I; Level of Evidence B*)

2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery (28)

- 1. Cardiac rehabilitation is recommended for all eligible patients after CABG (2,42,47-50). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)
- 1

ACC indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute

2 coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CR, cardiac

rehabilitation; CSA chronic stable angina; CVD, cardiovascular disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction;
 MI, myocardial infarction; NSTE-ACS, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction- acute coronary syndromes; and

- 5 PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
- 6

- 2
- 3

9

Short Title: PM-2: Exercise Training Referral for HFrEF from Inpatient Se	etting

PM-2: Exercise Training Referral for HF from an Inpatient Setting

Measure Description: Percentage of patients, age ≥ 18 y, hospitalized with a primary diagnosis of HFrEF in the previous 12 m, who are referred for outpatient exercise training (or regular physical activity), typically delivered in the setting of an outpatient CR program.

	Patients with HFrEF who have been referred to an outpatient CR program
Numerator	prior to hospital discharge
	A referral is defined as all of the below:
	(1) an official communication* between the health care provider and the patient to recommend and carry out a referral order to an outpatient
	CR program,
	(2) an official referral order to an outpatient CR program, and
	(3) a communication between the health care provider or health care
	system and the receiving CR program that includes the patient's referral information ⁺ for the program.
	referrar information for the program.
	While all steps should be met ideally, patients who refuse a CR referral
	should not have their data transmitted to the receiving CR program
	against their will. In that case, performance is considered to be met if the first two steps of the referral process and a patient refusal are
	documented in the patient's medical record.
	*All communications must maintain appropriate confidentiality as
	outlined by the 1996 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability
	Act [HIPAA].
	*Necessary patient information may be found in the hospital discharge
	summary
Denominator	All patients who have had HFrEF during the previous 12 m, who are discharged from the hospital during the reporting period
	discharged from the hospital during the reporting period
Denominator Exclusions	• Patients age <18 y
	• Patients who leave during the hospitalization against medical advice
	• Patients who die during the hospitalization period
	 Patients who are transferred to another hospital for inpatient care Patient is already participating in a CP program prior to hospitalization
	 Patient is already participating in a CR program prior to hospitalization Documentation of a patient-oriented reason that precludes referral to
Denominator Exceptions	CR (e.g., no traditional CR program available to the patient, within 60

25

	 min of travel time from the patient's home, or patient has access to an alternative model of CR delivery that meets all criteria for a CR program) Documentation of a medical reason that precludes referral to CR (e.g., patient deemed by a medical provider to have a medically unstable, life-threatening condition or has other cognitive or physical impairments that preclude CR participation) Documentation of a healthcare system reason that preclude referral to CR (e.g., patient is discharged to a nursing care or long-term care facility, or patients lack medical coverage for CR) 	
Measurement Period	Encounter	
Sources of Data	Medical record or other database (e.g., administrative, clinical, registry)	
Attribution	Measure reportable at facility level	
Care Setting	Inpatient	
Rationale		

CR services have been shown to help improve functional status, and may help reduce morbidity and mortality in persons with stable chronic heart failure with reduced HFrEF, but these services are used in a minority of eligible patients (39,51)

A key component to outpatient CR program utilization is the appropriate and timely referral of patients. Generally, the most important time for this referral to take place is while the patient is hospitalized for a HFrEF.

This performance measure has been developed to help healthcare systems implement effective steps in their systems of care that will optimize the appropriate referral of a patient to an outpatient CR program.

This measure is designed to serve as a stand-alone measure or, preferably, to be included within other performance measurement sets that involve patients with HFrEF. This performance measure is provided in a format that is meant to allow easy and flexible inclusion into such performance measurement sets.

Effective referral of appropriate inpatients to an outpatient CR program is the responsibility of the healthcare team within a healthcare system that is primarily responsible for providing cardiovascular care to the patient with HFrEF during the hospitalization.

Published evidence suggests that automatic referral systems accompanied by strong and supportive advice and guidance from a healthcare professional can significantly help improve CR referral and enrollment.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure (23)

1. Exercise training (or regular physical activity) is recommended as safe and effective for patients with HF who are able to participate to improve functional status (52-58). (*Class I, Level of Evidence:* A)

	ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary Artery and r Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update (27)
Othe	r Atheroscierouc Vascular Disease: 2011 Opdate (27)
S	All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI hould be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital ischarge or during the first follow-up office visit (2,42,47,48). (<i>Class I, Level of Evidence: A</i>)
E d	All eligible outpatients with the diagnosis of ACS, coronary artery bypass surgery or PCI (<i>Class I, Level of Evidence: A</i>) (2,42,47,59), chronic angina (<i>Class I, Level of Evidence: B</i>) (2,48), and/or peripheral artery isease (<i>Class I, Level of Evidence: A</i>) (60,61) within the past year should be referred to a comprehensive utpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program.
ана	Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women – 2011 Update
(26) I. A g a e	A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physician- uided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent cute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular vent, peripheral arterial disease (<i>Class I; Level of Evidence A</i>) or current/prior symptoms of heart failure and n LVEF 35%. (<i>Class I; Level of Evidence B</i>)
coron	indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute ary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CVD, cardiovascular disease; eart failure; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI,
myoc	ardial infarction; NSTE-ACS, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction- acute coronary syndromes; and PCI, taneous coronary intervention.

2

Short Title: PM-3: Referral From Outpatient Setting		
PM-3: Cardiac Rehabilitation Patient Referral From an Outpatient Setting		
Measure Description: Percentage of patients, age ≥ 18 y, evaluated in an outpatient setting, who within the previous 12 m have had a qualifying event/diagnosis for CR including: MI, CABG surgery, a PCI, cardiac valve surgery, or heart transplantation, or who have CSA and have not already participated in a CR program for the qualifying event/diagnosis are to be referred to such a program.		
Numerator	 Patients in an outpatient clinical practice who have had a qualifying event/diagnosis during the previous 12 m, who have been referred to an outpatient CR program A referral is defined as all of the below: (1) an official communication* between the health care provider and the patient to recommend and carry out a referral order to an outpatient CR program (2) an official referral order to an outpatient CR program, and (3) a communication between the health care provider or health care system and the receiving CR program that includes the patient's referral information† for the program While all steps should be met, patients who refuse a CR referral should not have their data transmitted to the receiving CR program against their will. In that case, performance is considered to be met if the first two steps of the referral process and a patient refusal are documented in the patient's medical record. * All communications must maintain appropriate confidentiality as outlined by the 1996 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA]. †Necessary patient information may be found in the outpatient office note 	
Denominator	All patients in an outpatient clinical practice who have had a qualifying event/diagnosis during the previous 12 m including: MI, PCI, CABG, CSA, valve repair/replacement, or heart transplantation	
Denominator Exclusions	 Patients age <18 y Patients who leaves clinic visit against medical advice Patient has already participated in or has already completed CR program prior to clinical visit 	

Denominator Exceptions	 Documentation of a patient-oriented reason that precludes referral to CR (e.g., no traditional CR program available to the patient, within 60 min of travel time from the patient's home, or patient has access to an alternative model of CR delivery that meets all criteria for a CR program) Documentation of a medical reason that precludes referral to CR (e.g., patient deemed by a medical provider to have a medically unstable, life-threatening condition or has other cognitive or physical impairments that preclude CR participation) Documentation of a healthcare system reason that preclude referral to CR (e.g., patient resides in a nursing care or long-term care facility, or patients lack medical coverage for CR) 	
Measurement Period	Encounter	
Sources of Data	Medical record or other database (e.g., administrative, clinical, registry)	
Attribution	Measure reportable at provider and facility level	
Care Setting	Outpatient	
Rationale		

CR services have been shown to help reduce morbidity and mortality in persons who have experienced a recent coronary artery disease event, but these services are used in less than 30% of eligible patients (39). A key component to CR utilization is the appropriate and timely referral of patients to an outpatient CR program. While referral takes place generally while the patient is hospitalized for a qualifying event (MI, CSA, CABG, PCI, or cardiac valve repair/replacement,), there are many instances in which a patient can and should be referred from an outpatient clinical practice setting (e.g., when a patient does not receive such a referral while in the hospital, or when the patient fails to follow through with the referral for whatever reason).

This performance measure has been developed to help healthcare systems implement effective steps in their systems of care that will optimize the appropriate referral of a patient to an outpatient CR program.

This measure is designed to serve as a stand-alone measure or, preferably, to be included within other performance measurement sets that involve disease states or other conditions for which CR services have been found to be appropriate and beneficial (e.g., following MI, CABG surgery). This performance measure is provided in a format that is meant to allow easy and flexible inclusion into such performance measurement sets.

Referral of appropriate outpatients to a CR program is the responsibility of the healthcare provider within a healthcare system that is providing the primary cardiovascular care to the patient in the outpatient setting.

Published evidence suggests that automatic referral systems accompanied by strong and supportive advice and guidance from a healthcare professional can significantly help improve CR referral and enrollment.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non–ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes (20)

1. All eligible patients with NSTE-ACS should be referred to a comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation program either before hospital discharge or during the first outpatient visit (40-43) . (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (21)

1. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs are recommended for patients with STEMI (42,44-46). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary Artery and Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update (27)

- 1. All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital discharge or during the first follow-up office visit (2,42,47,48). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)
- 2. All eligible outpatients with the diagnosis of ACS, coronary artery bypass surgery or PCI (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (2,42,47,59), chronic angina (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*) (2,48), and/or peripheral artery disease (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (60,61) within the past year should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program.

<u>AHA Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women – 2011 Update</u> (26)

1. A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physicianguided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular event, peripheral arterial disease (*Class I; Level of Evidence A*) or current/prior symptoms of heart failure and an LVEF 35%. (*Class I; Level of Evidence B*)

2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery (28)

1. Cardiac rehabilitation is recommended for all eligible patients after CABG (2,42,47-50). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)

1

ACC indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CSA chronic stable

- coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CSA chronic stab
 angina; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CVD, cardiovascular disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI,
- 4 myocardial infarction; NSTE-ACS, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction- acute coronary syndromes; and PCI,
- 5 percutaneous coronary intervention.

6

7

- 8
- 9

- 2
- 3

Short Title: PM-4: Exercise Training Referral for HFrEF from Outpatient Setting

PM-4: Exercise Training Referral for HF from an Outpatient Setting

Measure Description: Percentage of patients, age ≥ 18 y, evaluated in an outpatient setting who within the previous 12 m, have had HFrEF and have not participated in an exercise training program, such as provided in CR programs, for the qualifying event/diagnosis, are to be referred for exercise training.

	-	
Numerator	Patients in an outpatient clinical practice who have had HFrEF during the previous 12 m, who have been referred to an outpatient CR program	
	 A referral is defined as all of the below: (1) an official communication* between the health care provider and the patient to recommend and carry out a referral order to an outpatient CR program (2) an official referral order to an outpatient CR program, and (3) a communication between the health care provider or health care system and the receiving CR program that includes the patient's referral information† for the program. 	
	While all steps should be met ideally, patients who refuse a CR refer should not have their data transmitted to the receiving CR program against their will. In that case, performance is considered to be met i the first two steps of the referral process and a patient refusal are documented in the patient's medical record.	
	*All communications must maintain appropriate confidentiality as outlined by the 1996 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA].)	
	[†] Necessary patient information may be found in the outpatient office notes	
Denominator	All patients in an outpatient clinical practice who have had HFrEF during the previous 12 m	
Denominator Exclusions	 Patients age <18 y Patients who leaves clinic visit against medical advice Patient has already participated in or had already completed a CR program prior to clinic visit 	

Denominator Exceptions	 Documentation of a patient-oriented reason that precludes referral to CR (e.g., no traditional CR program available to the patient, within 60 min of travel time from the patient's home, or patient has access to an alternative model of CR delivery that meets all criteria for a CR program) Documentation of a medical reason that precludes referral to CR (e.g., patient deemed by a medical provider to have a medically unstable, life-threatening condition or has other cognitive or physical impairments that preclude CR participation) Documentation of a healthcare system reason that preclude referral to CR (e.g., patient resides in a nursing care or long-term care facility, or patients lack medical coverage for CR) 	
Measurement Period	Encounter	
Sources of Data	Medical record or other database (e.g., administrative, clinical, registry)	
Attribution	Measure reportable at provider and facility level	
Care Setting	Outpatient	
Rationale		

CR services have been shown to help improve functional status, and may help reduce morbidity and mortality in persons with stable chronic heart failure with reduced HFrEF, but these services are used in a minority of eligible patients (39,51).

A key component to outpatient CR program utilization is the appropriate and timely referral of patients. Generally, the most important time for this referral to take place is while the patient is hospitalized for a HFrEF.

This performance measure has been developed to help healthcare systems implement effective steps in their systems of care that will optimize the appropriate referral of a patient to an outpatient CR program.

This measure is designed to serve as a stand-alone measure or, preferably, to be included within other performance measurement sets that involve patients with HFrEF. This performance measure is provided in a format that is meant to allow easy and flexible inclusion into such performance measurement sets.

Effective referral of appropriate inpatients to an outpatient CR program is the responsibility of the healthcare team within a healthcare system that is primarily responsible for providing cardiovascular care to the patient with HFrEF during the hospitalization.

Published evidence suggests that automatic referral systems accompanied by strong and supportive advice and guidance from a healthcare professional can significantly help improve CR referral and enrollment.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure (23)

1. Exercise training (or regular physical activity) is recommended as safe and effective for patients with HF who are able to participate to improve functional status (52-58). (*Class I, Level of Evidence:* A)

<u>AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary Artery and</u> <u>Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update</u> (27)

- 1. All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital discharge or during the first follow-up office visit (2,42,47,48). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)
- 2. All eligible outpatients with the diagnosis of ACS, coronary artery bypass surgery or PCI (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (2,42,47,59), chronic angina (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*) (2,48), and/or peripheral artery disease (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (60,61) within the past year should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program.

AHA Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women – 2011 Update (26)

1. A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physicianguided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular event, peripheral arterial disease (*Class I; Level of Evidence A*) or current/prior symptoms of heart failure and an LVEF 35%. (*Class I; Level of Evidence B*)

1

ACC indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute

2 coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CSA chronic stable

angina; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HF, heart failure; HFrEF, heart failure with

~	
υ	

υ	
7	

8

9

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

reduced ejection fraction; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; and PCI, percutaneous
 coronary intervention.

2

Short Title: PM-5A: Enrollment (Claims-Based)		
PM-5A: Cardiac Rehabilitation Enrollment (Claims-Based)		
	patients, age ≥ 18 y, with a qualifying event/diagnosis for CR including: MI, evenent, or heart transplantation, who attend at least one session in a CR	
Numerator	Patients with a qualifying event/diagnosis for CR who attend at least one CR session within 90 calendar d of hospital discharge following a qualifying event, or within 90 calendar d of the date of a qualifying outpatient procedure or office visit	
Denominator All patients with a qualifying event/diagnosis in the previous 12 m including: MI, PCI, CABG, CSA, valve repair/replacement, or heart transplantation		
Denominator Exclusions	 Patients age <18 y Patient is already participating in a CR program prior to hospitalization Patients who leave against medical advice 	
Denominator Exceptions	None	
Measurement Period	Encounter	
Sources of Data Medical record or other database (e.g., administrative or cl		
Attribution Measure reportable at facility level* *Healthcare system or where diagnosis occurred.		
Care Setting	Inpatient or Outpatient	
	Rationale	
Participation in CR significantly improves meaningful patient outcomes, including mortality, readmissions to acute care, functional capacity, psychosocial well-being, and health-related quality of life. There are geographic and demographic disparities related to CR, which can be influenced by changes in systems and processes that address barriers to participation (19).		
While referral to CR is the first, critical step to involve patients in a CR program, actual enrollment in the CR program is essential to CR participation. Measuring CR enrollment will encourage both referring		

This draft document should be considered confidential and has been provided for comment purposes only. This document should not be cited or distributed to other individuals

practitioners/facilities and CR programs to develop performance improvement activities that increase participation.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

<u>2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non–ST-Elevation Acute Coronary</u> <u>Syndromes (20)</u>

1. All eligible patients with NSTE-ACS should be referred to a comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation program either before hospital discharge or during the first outpatient visit (40-43). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (21)

1. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs are recommended for patients with STEMI (42,44-46). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

<u>AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary Artery and</u> Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update (27)

- 1. All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital discharge or during the first follow-up office visit (2,42,47,48). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)
- 2. All eligible outpatients with the diagnosis of ACS, coronary artery bypass surgery or PCI (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (2,42,47,59), chronic angina (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*) (2,48), and/or peripheral artery disease (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (60,61) within the past year should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program.

<u>AHA Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women–2011 Update</u> (26)

1. A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physicianguided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular event, peripheral arterial disease (*Class I; Level of Evidence A*) or current/prior symptoms of heart failure and an LVEF 35%. (*Class I; Level of Evidence B*)

2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery (28)

1. Cardiac rehabilitation is recommended for all eligible patients after CABG (2,42,47-50). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)

ACC, indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CSA chronic stable angina; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CVD, cardiovascular disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; NSTE-ACS, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction- acute coronary syndromes; and PCI, persutaneous acronery intervention

- 5 percutaneous coronary intervention.
- 6

7

2

Short Title: PM-5B: Enrollment (Medical Records and/or Databases/Registries)		
PM-5B: Cardiac Rehabilitation Enrollment (Medical Records and/or Databases/Registries) Measure Description: Percentage of patients, age ≥18 y, with a qualifying event/diagnosis for CR including: MI, PCI, CABG, CSA, valve repair/replacement, or heart transplantation, who attend at least one session in a CR program.		
Denominator	All patients who have been hospitalized for a qualifying event/diagnosis in the previous 12 m including: MI, PCI, CABG, CSA, valve repair/replacement, or heart transplantation	
Denominator Exclusions	 Patients age <18 y Patients who die during hospitalization period Patient is already participating in a CR program prior to hospitalization Patients who leave against medical advice 	
Denominator Exceptions	 Documentation of a patient-oriented reason that precludes enrollment in CR (e.g., no traditional CR program available to the patient, within 60 minutes of travel time from the patient's home, or patient has access to an alternative model of CR delivery that meets all criteria for a CR program) Documentation of a medical reason that precludes enrollment in CR (e.g., patient deemed by a medical provider to have a medically unstable, life-threatening condition or has other cognitive or physical impairments that preclude CR participation) Documentation of a healthcare system reason that precludes enrolment in CR (e.g., patient is discharged to a nursing care or long-term care facility, or patients lack medical coverage for CR) 	
Measurement Period	Encounter	
Sources of Data	Medical record or other database (e.g., clinical or registry)	
Attribution	Measure reportable at provider level	
Care Setting	Inpatient or Outpatient	
Rationale		

Participation in CR significantly improves meaningful patient outcomes, including mortality, readmissions to acute care, functional capacity, psychosocial well-being, and health related quality of life. There are geographic and demographic disparities related to CR, which can be influenced by changes in systems and processes that address barriers to participation (19).

While referral to CR is the first, critical step to involve patients in a CR program, actual enrollment in the CR program is essential to CR participation. Measuring CR enrollment will encourage both referring practitioners/facilities and cardiac rehabilitation programs to develop performance improvement activities that increase participation.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non–ST-elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes (20)

1. All eligible patients with NSTE-ACS should be referred to a comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation program either before hospital discharge or during the first outpatient visit (40-43). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (21)

1. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs are recommended for patients with STEMI (42,44-46). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

<u>AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary Artery and</u> <u>Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update (27)</u>

- 1. All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital discharge or during the first follow-up office visit (2,42,47,48). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)
- 2. All eligible outpatients with the diagnosis of ACS, coronary artery bypass surgery or PCI (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (2,42,47,59), chronic angina (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*) (2,48), and/or peripheral artery disease (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (60,61) within the past year should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program.

<u>AHA Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women–2011 Update</u> (26)

1. A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physicianguided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular event, peripheral arterial disease (*Class I; Level of Evidence A*) or current/prior symptoms of heart failure and an LVEF 35%. (*Class I; Level of Evidence B*)

2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery (28)

1. Cardiac rehabilitation is recommended for all eligible patients after CABG (2,42,47-50). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)

ACC indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute

coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CSA chronic stable
 angina; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CVD, cardiovascular disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI,

4 myocardial infarction; NSTE-ACS, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction- acute coronary syndromes; and PCI,

5 percutaneous coronary intervention.

6 Quality Measures for Cardiac Rehabilitation

Short Title: QM-1: Time to Enrollment

QM-1: Cardiac Rehabilitation Time to Enrollment (21 days)

Percentage of patients, age ≥ 18 y, with a qualifying events/diagnosis including MI, PCI, CABG, heart valve surgery/repair, and/or heart transplantation, who enroll in CR within 21 d of hospital discharge.

Numerator	Patients discharged from the hospital after qualifying event/diagnosis, who are referred to CR, and who begin CR participation ≤21d after hospital discharge
Denominator	All patients discharged from the hospital after qualifying event/diagnosis including: MI, PCI, CABG, heart valve surgery/repair and/or heart transplantation, who are referred to CR, and who begin CR participation (at least one billed CR session)
Denominator Exclusions	 Patients age <18 y Patients who leave against medical advice
Denominator Exceptions	 Documentation of a patient-oriented reason that precludes CR participation after the patient has enrolled (e.g., patient moves to a new location that requires more than 60 minutes travel time to arrive at the enrolling CR program) Documentation of a medical reason that precludes CR participation after the patient has enrolled (e.g., patient deemed by a medical provider to have a medically unstable, life-threatening condition or has other cognitive or physical impairments that precludes CR participation) Documentation of a healthcare system reason that precludes CR participation after the patient has enrolled (e.g., patient is admitted to 38 nursing care or long-term care facility, or patients loses medical coverage for CR)
Measurement Period	in Encounter idential and has been provided for comment purposes
Sources of Data	Medical stellbuted in other individuals
Attribution	Measure reportable at the facility or provider level

Care Setting

Shared responsibility between healthcare centers and CR program

Rationale

A variety of factors influence CR, including patient-, medical-, program- and system-related issues. Current literature (single-site randomized, systematic review and observational) suggests that targeting earlier enrollment in rehabilitation improves over-all enrollment, such that for every day that passes after hospital discharge there is a \sim 1% decrease in program participation rate. One randomized trial targeted <10 d for time from qualifying event to enrollment and showed an 18% improvement in time to first visit in CR (62). A systematic review suggested 17d as the optimal duration of time (63).

As with other CR performance measures, this performance measure addressing time from discharge to start in rehabilitation is important, in that it can influence potential processes or barriers at the patient (conflict with return to work), hospital, provider, and program (workflow and throughput) levels.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non–ST-elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes (20)

1. All eligible patients with NSTE-ACS should be referred to a comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation program either before hospital discharge or during the first outpatient visit (40-43). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*) **2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (21)**

1. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs are recommended for patients with STEMI (42,44-46). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

<u>AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary Artery and</u> Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update (27)

- 1. All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital discharge or during the first follow-up office visit (2,42,47,48). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)
- 2. All eligible outpatients with the diagnosis of ACS, coronary artery bypass surgery or PCI (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (2,42,47,59), chronic angina (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)(2,48), and/or peripheral artery disease (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (60,61) within the past year should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program.

<u>AHA Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women–2011 Update</u> (26)

1. A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physicianguided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular event, peripheral arterial disease (*Class I; Level of Evidence A*) or current/prior symptoms of

39

	heart failure and an LVEF 35%. (Class I; Level of Evidence B)
	ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery (28)
	1. Cardiac rehabilitation is recommended for all eligible patients after CABG (2,42,47-50). (<i>Class I, Level of Evidence: A</i>)
1 2 3 4 5	ACC indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CVD, cardiovascular disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; NSTE-ACS, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction- acute coronary syndromes; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
12 13	
13 14	
14 15	
15	
10	
18	
10 19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Short Title: QM-2: Cardiac Rehabilitation Adherence (≥25 sessions)

QM-2: Cardiac Rehabilitation Adherence (≥25 sessions)

Measure Description: Percentage of patients, age ≥ 18 y, with a qualifying event/diagnosis for CR including: MI, PCI, CABG, heart valve repair/replacement, heart transplantation, or HFrEF, who have enrolled in CR and have participated in ≥ 25 sessions.

Numerator	Patients with a qualifying event/diagnosis who have enrolled in CR and have participated in \geq 25 CR sessions			
Denominator	All patients with a qualifying event/diagnosis in the: MI, PCI, CABG, CSA, valve repair/replacement, heart transplantation, or HFrEF and who were enrolled in CR during the 3 m prior to the start of the measurement period.			
	Note: Sites can only report this on a semi-annual basis.			
Denominator Exclusions	 Patients age <18 y Patients who leave against medical advice 			
Denominator Exceptions	 Documentation of a patient-oriented reason that precludes CR participation after the patient has enrolled (e.g., patient moves to a new location that requires more than 60 minutes travel time to arrive at the enrolling CR program) Documentation of a medical reason that precludes CR participation after the patient has enrolled (e.g., patient deemed by a medical provider to have a medically unstable, life-threatening condition or has other cognitive or physical impairments that preclude CR participation) Documentation of a healthcare system reason that precludes CR participation after the patient has enrolled (e.g., patient is admitted to a nursing care or long-term care facility, or patients loses medical coverage for CR) 			
Measurement Period	Quarterly			
Sources of Data	Claims databases, Medical record or other database (e.g. administrative, clinical, registry)			
Attribution	Measure reportable at the facility or provider level			
Care Setting	Outpatient, CR program			
	Rationale			
	ves meaningful patient outcomes, including mortality, readmissions to acute			

Participation in CR significantly improves meaningful patient outcomes, including mortality, readmissions to acute care, functional capacity, psychosocial well-being, and health-related quality of life. A dose-response relationship

has been demonstrated between the number of CR sessions and long-term outcomes and has been estimated at 1% mortality reduction per session of CR attended (38,46,64,65). Attending \geq 36 sessions is associated with lower risks of death and MI at 4 years compared to attending fewer sessions. Thus, the optimal dose of CR appears to be \geq 36 CR sessions.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non–ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes (20)

 All eligible patients with NSTE-ACS should be referred to a comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation program either before hospital discharge or during the first outpatient visit (40-43). (*Class I, Level of Evidence:* B)

2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (21)

1. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs are recommended for patients with STEMI (42,44-46). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

<u>AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary Artery and</u> Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update (27)

- 1. All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital discharge or during the first follow-up office visit(2,42,47,48). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)
- 2. All eligible outpatients with the diagnosis of ACS, coronary artery bypass surgery or PCI (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (2,42,47,59), chronic angina (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*) (2,48), and/or peripheral artery disease (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (60,61) within the past year should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program.

2012 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure

1. Exercise training (or regular physical activity) is recommended as safe and effective for patients with HF who are able to participate to improve functional status (52-58). (*Class I, Level of Evidence:* A)

<u>AHA Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women–2011 Update</u> (26)

1. A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physicianguided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular event, peripheral arterial disease (*Class I; Level of Evidence A*) or current/prior symptoms of heart failure and an LVEF 35%. (*Class I; Level of Evidence B*)

2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery (28)

1. Cardiac rehabilitation is recommended for all eligible patients after CABG (2,42,47-50). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)

Confidential Draft

1 2 3 4 5	ACC, indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HF; heart failure; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; NSTE-ACS, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction- acute coronary syndromes; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Short Title: QM-3: Cardiac Rehabilitation Outcomes Communication

QM-3: Cardiac Rehabilitation Communication: Patient Enrollment, Adherence, and Clinical Outcomes

Measure Description: Percentage of patients, age ≥ 18 y, for whom the receiving CR program has communicated to the referring provider and/or primary care provider regarding the patient's enrollment, attendance and key clinical outcomes (e.g., changes in functional capacity, quality of life) in the CR program.

Numerator Denominator	Patients referred by a healthcare provider to a receiving CR program, for whom the receiving CR program has provided written communication* to the referring provider and/or primary care provider regarding the patient's enrollment, attendance, and clinical outcomes in the CR program *While any communication regarding a patient's participation in CR will be accepted, ideal communication would include information on all three aspects: patient's enrollment, attendance, and clinical outcomes in the CR program. All patients with a qualifying event/ diagnosis in the previous 12 m including: MI, PCI, CABG, CSA, heart valve repair/replacement, or heart transplantation, who are referred to CR
Denominator Exclusions Denominator Exceptions	 Patients <18 y Patients who leave against medical advice Patient was already participating in a CR program Documentation of a patient-oriented reason that precludes CR participation after the patient has enrolled (e.g., patient moves to a new location that requires more than 60 min travel time to arrive at the enrolling CR program) Documentation of a medical reason that precludes CR participation after the patient has enrolled (e.g., patient deemed by a medical provider to have a medically unstable, life-threatening condition or has other cognitive or physical impairments that precludes CR participation) Documentation of a healthcare system reason that precludes CR participation)
Measurement Period	coverage for CR) Encounter
Sources of Data Attribution	Medical record or other database (e.g., administrative, clinical, registry) Measure reportable at the facility or provider level

Care Setting

Outpatient, CR Program

Rationale

A key function of CR is to help coordinate the care of patients, often with very complex cardiovascular conditions, who are referred to CR. Communication between the CR program and the referring provider helps provide greater coordination of care by providing information to the referring provider that will be of help in the management of the patient's cardiovascular disease.

Clinical Recommendation(s)

2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non–ST-elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes (20)

1. All eligible patients with NSTE-ACS should be referred to a comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation program either before hospital discharge or during the first outpatient visit (40-43). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (21)

1. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs are recommended for patients with STEMI (42,44-46). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*)

2012 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure

1. Exercise training (or regular physical activity) is recommended as safe and effective for patients with HF who are able to participate to improve functional status (52-58). (*Class I, Level of Evidence:* A)

<u>AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary Artery and</u> Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update (27)

- 1. All eligible patients with ACS or whose status is immediately post coronary artery bypass surgery or post-PCI should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program either prior to hospital discharge or during the first follow-up office visit (2,42,47,48). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)
- 2. All eligible outpatients with the diagnosis of ACS, coronary artery bypass surgery or PCI (Class I, Level of Evidence: A)(2,42,47,59), chronic angina (*Class I, Level of Evidence: B*) (2,48), and/or peripheral artery disease (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*) (60,61) within the past year should be referred to a comprehensive outpatient cardiovascular rehabilitation program.

<u>AHA Effectiveness-Based Guidelines for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women–2011 Update</u> (26)

1. A comprehensive CVD risk-reduction regimen such as cardiovascular or stroke rehabilitation or a physicianguided home- or community-based exercise training program should be recommended to women with a recent acute coronary syndrome or coronary revascularization, new-onset or chronic angina, recent cerebrovascular event, peripheral arterial disease (*Class I; Level of Evidence A*) or current/prior symptoms of heart failure and an LVEF 35%. (*Class I; Level of Evidence B*)

2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery (28)

1. Cardiac rehabilitation is recommended for all eligible patients after CABG (2,42,47-50). (*Class I, Level of Evidence: A*)

1 2 3 4 5	ACC, indicates American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AHA, American Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HF, heart failure; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; NSTE-ACS, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction- acute coronary syndromes; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

46

APPENDIX B. AUTHOR LISTING OF RELATIONSHIPS WITH INDUSTRY AND OTHER ENTITIES (RELEVANT)—2018 ACC/AHA CLINICAL PERFORMANCE AND QUALITY MEASURES FOR CARDIAC REHABILITATION

Committee Employment Consultant Speaker **Ownership**/ Research Institutional, Expert Member Partnershi Organizational, or Witness p/ **Other Financial** Principal Benefit Randal Mayo Clinic None None None None None None Professor of Thomas Medicine Chair None Gaurav University of None None None None None Banka California Los Angeles -Fellow Gary Balady Boston None None None None None None University -Professor of Medicine Theresa None None None University of None None None Beckie South Florida - Professor Jensen Chiu Senior None None None None IMPAO None Associate-International, LLC[†] IMPAQ International Sana Gokak American None None None None None None College of Cardiology; American Heart Association P. Michael University of None None None • Janssen None None Ho Colorado School Pharmaceuticals, of Medicine -Inc* Associate Professor of Medicine Steven Program Director None None None None None • Nimble Hearts, Inc† Keteyian - Henry Ford Hospital Chief Medical Marjorie None None None None None None King Officer Helen Hayes Hospital Karen Lui Regulatory None None None None None • GRQ, LLC† Analyst -GRQ, LLC Quinn Pack Tufts University None None None None None None School of Medicine -Assistant Professor of Medicine Bonnie Auburn None None None None None None Sanderson University

47

Committee Member		Consultant	Speaker	Ownership/ Partnershi p/ Principal	Research	Institutional, Organizational, or Other Financial Benefit	Expert Witness
Tracy Wang	Professor g Duke University Medical Center — Assistant Professor of Medicine	 Astra Zeneca† Ely Lily Merk Premier, Inc 	None	None	 Astra Zeneca† Boston Scientific† Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Eli Lilly/Daiichi Sankyo Alliance† Gilead† Regeneron† 	None	None
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17	be relevant to this d conference calls of relationships with in interest represents of more of the fair man the person's gross in the preceding definit *No financial relation	locument. These r the writing commi- ndustry at the time ownership of 5% o rket value of the b ncome for the prev- ition. Relationship onship †Significar	elationships w ittee during the of publication r more of the usiness entity; vious year. A s in this table ht (greater than	vere reviewed an e document dev n. A person is d voting stock or or if funds rece relationship is o are modest unle n \$5,000) relatio	nd updated in conjunct relopment process. The eemed to have a signif share of the business e eived by the person fro considered to be modes ess otherwise noted.	ties that were reported by ion with all meetings and e table does not necessari icant interest in a busines ntity, or ownership of \$5. m the business entity exc at if it is less than signific epresentation with Quality.	l/or ly reflect s if the ,000 or eed 5% of
18							
19							
20 21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

APPENDIX C. PEER REVIEWER RELATIONSHIPS WITH INDUSTRY AND OTHER ENTITIES 2018 — 2018 ACC/AHA CLINICAL PERFORMANCE AND QUALITY MEASURES FOR CARDIAC REHABILITATION MEASURES

- 8 This section will be added upon completion of peer review.

- ---

1		
2		
3		References
4 5 6	1.	Spertus JA, Eagle KA, Krumholz HM, et al. American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association methodology for the selection and creation of performance measures for quantifying the quality of cardiovascular care. Circulation. 2005;111:1703-12.
7 8 9	2.	Thomas RJ, King M, Lui K, et al. AACVPR/ACC/AHA 2007 performance measures on cardiac rehabilitation for referral to and delivery of cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention services J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50:1400-33.
10 11 12	3.	Thomas RJ, King M, Lui K, et al. AACVPR/ACCF/AHA 2010 update: performance measures on cardiac rehabilitation for referral to cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention Services Endorsed J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56:1159-67.
13 14	4.	Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017;135:e146-e603.
15 16 17	5.	HCUP.net. National and regional estimates on hospital use for all patients form the HCUP National Inpatient Sample (NIS). Available at: <u>https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/nisoverview.jsp</u> . Accessed: May 10, 2017.
18 19 20	6.	Lawler PR, Filion KB, Eisenberg MJ. Efficacy of exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation post-myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am Heart J. 2011;162:571-84 e2.
21 22 23	7.	Dugmore LD, Tipson RJ, Phillips MH, et al. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness, psychological wellbeing, quality of life, and vocational status following a 12 month cardiac exercise rehabilitation programme. Heart. 1999;81:359-66.
24 25	8.	Shepherd CW, While AE. Cardiac rehabilitation and quality of life: a systematic review. Int J Nurs Stud. 2012;49:755-71.
26 27	9.	Pack QR, Goel K, Lahr BD, et al. Participation in cardiac rehabilitation and survival after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a community-based study. Circulation. 2013;128:590-7.
28 29	10.	Dunlay SM, Pack QR, Thomas RJ, et al. Participation in cardiac rehabilitation, readmissions, and death after acute myocardial infarction. Am J Med. 2014;127:538-46.
30 31 32	11.	Lamberti M, Ratti G, Gerardi D, et al. Work-related outcome after acute coronary syndrome: Implications of complex cardiac rehabilitation in occupational medicine. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2016;29:649-57.
33 34	12.	Suaya JA, Shepard DS, Normand SL, et al. Use of cardiac rehabilitation by Medicare beneficiaries after myocardial infarction or coronary bypass surgery. Circulation. 2007;116:1653-62.
35 36	13.	Witt BJ, Jacobsen SJ, Weston SA, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation after myocardial infarction in the community. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;44:988-96.
37 38	14.	Aragam KG, Dai D, Neely ML, et al. Gaps in referral to cardiac rehabilitation of patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in the United States. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65:2079-88.
39 40 41	15.	Golwala H, Pandey A, Ju C, et al. Temporal Trends and Factors Associated With Cardiac Rehabilitation Referral Among Patients Hospitalized With Heart Failure: Findings From Get With The Guidelines-Heart Failure Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;66:917-26.
42 43 44	16.	Mazzini MJ, Stevens GR, Whalen D, et al. Effect of an American Heart Association Get With the Guidelines program-based clinical pathway on referral and enrollment into cardiac rehabilitation after acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2008;101:1084-7.
45 46	17.	Weingarten MN, Salz KA, Thomas RJ, et al. Rates of enrollment for men and women referred to outpatient cardiac rehabilitation. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev. 2011;31:217-22.

18.	Grace SL, Russell KL, Reid RD, et al. Effect of cardiac rehabilitation referral strategies on utilization rates:
	a prospective, controlled study. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171:235-41.
19.	Ades PA, Keteyian SJ, Wright JS, et al. Increasing Cardiac Rehabilitation Participation From 20% to 70%:
	A Road Map From the Million Hearts Cardiac Rehabilitation Collaborative. Mayo Clin Proc. 2017;92:234-
	42.
20.	Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients
	with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a report of the American College of
	Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol.
	2014;64:e139-228.
21.	O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-
	elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American
	Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61:e78-140.
22	Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2015 ACC/AHA/SCAI Focused Update on Primary
22.	Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: An Update of
	the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention and the 2013 ACCF/AHA
	Guideline for the Management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;67:1235-
	50.
22	
23.	Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a
	report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on
A 4	Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62:e147-239.
24.	Goff DC, Jr., Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of
	cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task
	Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:2935-59.
25.	Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the
	diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College
	of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the
	American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular
	Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic
	Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;60:e44-e164.
26.	Mosca L, Benjamin EJ, Berra K, et al. Effectiveness-based guidelines for the prevention of cardiovascular
	disease in women-2011 update: a guideline from the American Heart Association. J Am Coll Cardiol.
	2011;57:1404-23.
27.	Smith SC, Jr., Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, et al. AHA/ACCF secondary prevention and risk reduction
	therapy for patients with coronary and other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2011 update: a guideline from
	the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation endorsed by the World
	Heart Federation and the Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;58:2432-
	46.
28	Hillis LD, Smith PK, Anderson JL, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft
20.	Surgery. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task
	Force on Practice Guidelines. Developed in collaboration with the American Association for Thoracic
	Surgery, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll
	Cardiol. 2011;58:e123-210.
20	
29.	Drozda J, Jr., Messer JV, Spertus J, et al. ACCF/AHA/AMA-PCPI 2011 performance measures for adults
	with coronary artery disease and hypertension: a report of the American College of Cardiology
	Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures and the American Medical
20	Association-Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;58:316-36.
30.	Krumholz HM, Anderson JL, Bachelder BL, et al. ACC/AHA 2008 performance measures for adults with
	ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of
	Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures (Writing Committee to
	Develop Performance Measures for ST-Elevation and Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction) Developed
	51
	 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25.

1 2		in Collaboration With the American Academy of Family Physicians and American College of Emergency Physicians Endorsed by the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation,
3 4		Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Hospital Medicine. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52:2046-99.
5 6	31.	Mehta LS, Beckie TM, DeVon HA, et al. Acute Myocardial Infarction in Women: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2016;133:916-47.
7 8 9	32.	McSweeney JC, Rosenfeld AG, Abel WM, et al. Preventing and Experiencing Ischemic Heart Disease as a Woman: State of the Science: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2016;133:1302-31.
10 11 12	33.	Bonow RO, Ganiats TG, Beam CT, et al. ACCF/AHA/AMA-PCPI 2011 performance measures for adults with heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures and the American Medical Association-Physician Consortium for
13 14 15	34.	Performance Improvement. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;59:1812-32. Holmes DR, Jr., Mack MJ, Kaul S, et al. 2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS expert consensus document on
15 16	35.	transcatheter aortic valve replacement. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;59:1200-54. CMS.gov. Consensus Core Set: Cardiovascular Measures
17	36.	Normand SL, McNeil BJ, Peterson LE, et al. Eliciting expert opinion using the Delphi technique:
18 19 20	37.	identifying performance indicators for cardiovascular disease. Int J Qual Health Care. 1998;10:247-60. Russell KL, Holloway TM, Brum M, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation wait times: effect on enrollment. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev. 2011;31:373-7.
21 22 23	38.	Hammill BG, Curtis LH, Schulman KA, et al. Relationship between cardiac rehabilitation and long-term risks of death and myocardial infarction among elderly Medicare beneficiaries. Circulation. 2010;121:63-70.
24 25	39.	Cortes O, Arthur HM. Determinants of referral to cardiac rehabilitation programs in patients with coronary artery disease: a systematic review. Am Heart J. 2006;151:249-56.
26 27 28 29 30	40.	Balady GJ, Williams MA, Ades PA, et al. Core components of cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs: 2007 update: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention Committee, the Council on Clinical Cardiology; the Councils on Cardiovascular Nursing, Epidemiology and Prevention, and Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism, and the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. Circulation.
31 32	41.	2007;115:2675-82. Fletcher GF, Ades PA, Kligfield P, et al. Exercise standards for testing and training: a scientific statement
33	10	from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2013;128:873-934.
34 35	42.	Taylor RS, Brown A, Ebrahim S, et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for patients with coronary heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Med. 2004;116:682-92.
36 37 38	43.	Wenger NK, Froelicher ES, Smith LK, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation as secondary prevention. Agency for Health Care Policy and Research and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Clin Pract Guidel Quick Ref Guide Clin. 1995:1-23.
39	44.	Goel K, Lennon RJ, Tilbury RT, et al. Impact of cardiac rehabilitation on mortality and cardiovascular
40		events after percutaneous coronary intervention in the community. Circulation. 2011;123:2344-52.
41 42 43 44 45	45.	Leon AS, Franklin BA, Costa F, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation and secondary prevention of coronary heart disease: an American Heart Association scientific statement from the Council on Clinical Cardiology (Subcommittee on Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention) and the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism (Subcommittee on Physical Activity), in collaboration with the American association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. Circulation. 2005;111:369-76.
46 47	46.	Suaya JA, Stason WB, Ades PA, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation and survival in older coronary patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54:25-33.
48 49	47.	Clark AM, Hartling L, Vandermeer B, et al. Meta-analysis: secondary prevention programs for patients with coronary artery disease. Ann Intern Med. 2005;143:659-72.

1 2	48.	Walther C, Mobius-Winkler S, Linke A, et al. Regular exercise training compared with percutaneous intervention leads to a reduction of inflammatory markers and cardiovascular events in patients with
3		coronary artery disease. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2008;15:107-12.
4 5	49.	Engblom E, Korpilahti K, Hamalainen H, et al. Quality of life and return to work 5 years after coronary artery bypass surgery. Long-term results of cardiac rehabilitation. J Cardiopulm Rehabil. 1997;17:29-36.
6	50.	Milani RV, Lavie CJ. The effects of body composition changes to observed improvements in
7		cardiopulmonary parameters after exercise training with cardiac rehabilitation. Chest. 1998;113:599-601.
8	51.	Park LG, Schopfer DW, Zhang N, et al. Participation in Cardiac Rehabilitation Among Patients With Heart
9		Failure. J Card Fail. 2017.
10	52.	Austin J, Williams R, Ross L, et al. Randomised controlled trial of cardiac rehabilitation in elderly patients
11		with heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2005;7:411-7.
12	53.	Austin J, Williams WR, Ross L, et al. Five-year follow-up findings from a randomized controlled trial of
13		cardiac rehabilitation for heart failure. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2008;15:162-7.
14	54.	Davies EJ, Moxham T, Rees K, et al. Exercise training for systolic heart failure: Cochrane systematic
15		review and meta-analysis. Eur J Heart Fail. 2010;12:706-15.
16	55.	O'Connor CM, Whellan DJ, Lee KL, et al. Efficacy and safety of exercise training in patients with chronic
17		heart failure: HF-ACTION randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2009;301:1439-50.
18	56.	Piepoli MF, Davos C, Francis DP, et al. Exercise training meta-analysis of trials in patients with chronic
19	57	heart failure (ExTraMATCH). BMJ. 2004;328:189.
20 21	57.	Pina IL, Apstein CS, Balady GJ, et al. Exercise and heart failure: A statement from the American Heart
22	58.	Association Committee on exercise, rehabilitation, and prevention. Circulation. 2003;107:1210-25. Smart N, Marwick TH. Exercise training for patients with heart failure: a systematic review of factors that
23	56.	improve mortality and morbidity. Am J Med. 2004;116:693-706.
24	59.	Hambrecht R, Walther C, Mobius-Winkler S, et al. Percutaneous coronary angioplasty compared with
25	57.	exercise training in patients with stable coronary artery disease: a randomized trial. Circulation.
26		2004;109:1371-8.
27	60.	McDermott MM, Ades P, Guralnik JM, et al. Treadmill exercise and resistance training in patients with
28		peripheral arterial disease with and without intermittent claudication: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA.
29		2009;301:165-74.
30	61.	Watson L, Ellis B, Leng GC. Exercise for intermittent claudication. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
31		2008:CD000990.
32	62.	Pack QR, Mansour M, Barboza JS, et al. An early appointment to outpatient cardiac rehabilitation at
33		hospital discharge improves attendance at orientation: a randomized, single-blind, controlled trial.
34		Circulation. 2013;127:349-55.
35	63.	Collins ZC, Suskin N, Aggarwal S, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation wait times and relation to patient outcomes.
36		Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2015;51:301-9.
37	64.	Doll JA, Hellkamp A, Thomas L, et al. Effectiveness of cardiac rehabilitation among older patients after
38	<i></i>	acute myocardial infarction. Am Heart J. 2015;170:855-64.
39 40	65.	Martin BJ, Hauer T, Arena R, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation attendance and outcomes in coronary artery disease patients. Circulation. 2012;126:677-87.
40		uisease parents. Circulation. 2012,120.077-07.
41		